

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,763	04/24/2001	Richard C. Willson III	009MUS	6416
26830 75	590 08/06/2002			
RICHARD COALE WILLSON JR 3205 HARVEST MOON DR STE 200			EXAMINER	
			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN	
PALM HARBOR, FL 34683-2127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
	,		DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•						
Office Action Summary	09/841,763	WILLSON ET AL.				
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Jeffrey Fredman	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2002</u> .						
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 19-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 19-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)⊡ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/841,763 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 10 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is vague and indefinite what is meant by the phrase "one of which lyses" in claim 10. Specifically, "lyses" what?. The precipitation reagent must precipitate DNA, but there is no similar limitation placed on the lyses reagent.

The phrase "the method" in claim 20 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: what comprises the apparatus for application of the method in parallel.

It is vague and indefinite what are the metes and bounds of a "stripping solution" of a "resuspension solution" or of a "lysis solution" as listed in claim 21 and later claims. Specifically, no structural components are indicated for these solutions so potentially any solution can meet these phrases.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/841,763

Art Unit: 1637

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris et al (J. Gen. Microbiol. (1978) 106:387-389).

As an initial matter prior to the rejection, the claims must be construed. These claims are construed using the broadest reasonable interpretation where the intended use limitations are not given patentable weight since they do not limit the compositions in any structural sense.

Morris teaches a lysis composition which comprises 5 mM spermidine, to which is added the detergent SDS which is a lysing reagent (see page 387, paragraph 2).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10, 19-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris et al (J. Gen. Microbiol. (1978) 106:387-389) in view of Stratagene Catalog (1988) p. 39.

Morris teaches a lysis composition which comprises 5 mM spermidine, to which is added the nonionic detergent SDS which is a lysing reagent (see page 387, paragraph 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/841,763

Art Unit: 1637

Morris further teaches the use of glass centrifuge tubes, which is an apparatus which permits application of the method in parallel as well as the use of centrifugation apparatus (see page 387, paragraph 2). In a kit, as taught by Stratagene below, multiple vials of the same solution are commonly used to minimize contamination.

#### Morris teaches a

first compaction precipitation solution which is the cold lysis buffer with spermidine (see page 387)

a stripping solution, which is the phenol solution (see page 387)

a Tris resuspension solution (see page 387)

and a second compaction solution, which is the PEG solution (page 388).

Morris teaches filtering the nucleic acid through an agarose gel (see figure 1 on page 388) as well as the apparatus for its use (see figure 1 on page 388).

Morris does not teach placement of these reagents into a kit format.

Stratagene catalog teaches a motivation to combine reagents into kit format (page 39).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of Morris into a kit format as discussed by Stratagene catalog since the Stratagene catalog teaches a motivation for combining reagents of use in an assay into a kit, "Each kit provides two services: 1) a variety of different reagents have been assembled and pre-mixed specifically for a defined set of experiments. Thus one need not purchase gram quantitites of 10 different reagents, each of which is needed in only microgram amounts, when beginning

Application/Control Number: 09/841,763

Art Unit: 1637

Page 5

a series of experiments. When one considers all of the unused chemicals that typically accumulate in weighing rooms, desiccators, and freezers, one quickly realizes that it is actually far more expensive for a small number of users to prepare most buffer solutions from the basic reagents. Stratagene provides only the quantitites you will actually need, premixed and tested. In actuality, the kit format saves money and resources for everyone by dramatically reducing waste. 2) The other service provided in a kit is quality control" (page 39, column 1).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

August 1, 2002